Sensitivity Studies for Evaluating SSI Effects for Seismically Base-Isolated NPP Structures. PART 1:Deterministic SSI Analysis



Dr. Dan M. Ghiocel

Email: dan.ghiocel@ghiocel-tech.com Phone: 585-641-0379 Ghiocel Predictive Technologies Inc. http://www.ghiocel-tech.com



1

Ghiocel Predictive Technologies Inc.

DANS Meeting for ASCE 43 Standard San Diego, November 5-6, 2015

Purpose of This Presentation:

To investigate the effects of base isolation on seismic SSI response of a typical NI complex under coherent and incoherent motions.

How are the base-isolation effects different for soft soil sites and rock site?

How are the base-isolation effects different for coherent and incoherent motions?

Which are the base-isolation effects on the NI complex ISRS?

Which are the base-isolation effects on the relative displacements at the RCL system supports?

Presentation Content

- Investigate the seismic SSI effects for the base-isolated NI complex for different soil conditions, for a rock site and soft soil site. Compare NI responses with and without LRB isolators. Case study 1.
- 2. Investigate the seismic SSI effects for the base-isolated NI complex response for *coherent and incoherent* input motions. *Case study 2.*

Compare accelerations, displacements and ISRS within the NI complex and computed displacements and forces in isolators.

2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

ACS SASSI NON Modeling of Hysteretic Behavior



Frequency Domain Linearized Hysteretic Model Time Domain Hysteretic Model

4

Comparative nonlinear SSI analysis results of the hybrid approach and the *"true"* nonlinear time-integration approach showed a very good matching.

The new nonlinear SSI approach can be used to perform *fast and accurate* nonlinear SSI analyses at a small fraction of the runtime of a time domain nonlinear SSI analysis, about 2-3 times the different analysis runtime.

Seismic Nonlinear SSI Analysis Methodology

Base isolators are modeled as nonlinear spring elements.

ACS SASSI NON extended the SASSI subtructuring methodology to nonlinear SSI using an iterative equivalent linear procedure. Includes shells and springs.

Computational steps:

- For the initial iteration, perform a linear SSI analysis using the initial elastic properties for the nonlinear elements
- Compute the local behavior of nonlinear elements in time domain based on the local relative displacements, that is then used to calibrate the local linearized hysteretic models associated to each nonlinear element in complex frequency
- Perform a new SSI analysis iteration using a fast SSI restart analysis in the complex frequency domain using the linearized hysteretic models computed in Step 2 for nonlinear elements
- Check convergence after new SSI iteration to stop or continue.

Reinforced Concrete Structure SSI Analysis



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

ACS SASSI Opt NON vs. PERFORM3D Fixed-Base Analysis. Comparative Study Results.



Nonlinear SSI Analysis for 0.30g and 0.60g Inputs. Panel 17 Cheng-Mertz Shear Hysteretic Model



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

Panel 17 Shear Strains for 0.60g Input



ACS SASSI Opt NON vs. PERFORM3D Fixed-Base Panel 17 Hysteretic Loops for 0.30g and 0.60g

0.30g





Top of Structure Displacements for 0.60g Input





Nonlinear Springs for Modeling Base-Isolators and/or Moderate Building Sliding



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.



²⁰¹⁵ Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

LRB Base-Isolator Dynamic Properties

Horizontal Nonlinear Springs: Stiffness defined by backbone curve



Backbone Curve

(close to 1.60 diameter LRB base-isolators used in Japan; see SMiRT23 Papers presented in Division V)

Vertical Linear Springs: With an axial stiffness of 600,000 kips/ft

General Hysteretic Model for LRB Isolators



Equivalent Linear Iteration Convergence in ATF



Seismic Input: 0.30g RG 1.60 Seismic Input at Surface CSDRSX C SDRS Y 3.50 3.50 3.00 3.00 2.50 2.00 1.50 1.00 Х 2.50 Y Accelerations (g) 2.00

0.50

0.00

1.50

1.00

0.50

0.00

0.10



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

100.00

10.00

Soil Profiles: Soft Soil (Vs=1,000fps), Rock (Vs = 6000 fps), and Medium Soil (Vs = 2,000 fps)



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

Case Study 1: Coherent Input

Base-Isolated NI Complex Behavior for Rock Site (Vs=6000 fps) and Soft Soil Site (Vs=1000fps)

Comparisons for NI complex with and without LRB isolators for accelerations and ISRS





Accelerations in NI Complex With and Without Isolators Soil, X-Direction RBC (Coherent, Soil) -- ACC (Node 15643) RBC (Coherent, Soil) -- ACC (Node 15471) Direction X at PCW Direction X at Top of IS With Isolators With Isolators Without Isolators Without Isolator Top of IS PC W 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.1 0.2 ø Acceleration (g) Acceleration -0.1 -0.2 -0.4 -0.2 -0.6 -0.3 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 Time (Seconds) Time (Seconds) With Isolators RBC (Coherent, Soil) -- ACC (Node 15918) RBC (Coherent, Soil) -- ACC (Node 16391) Without Isolators Direction X at Top of ANB2-W S Corner Direction X at Top of CS 0.3 With Isolators Without Isolators Without Isolators Top of CS AB2 W 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 Acceleration (g) Acceleration (g) -0 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.2 -0.4 -0.3 2015 Copyright of Ghiocel 23 0 2 6 10 12 6 10 12 14 Δ 8 8 Time (Seconds) Time (Seconds) Predictive Technologies, Inc.

Accelerations in NI Complex With and Without Isolators Soil, Z-Direction REC Coherent, Soil - ACC (Node 1564) Direction 2 at Top of IS









ARS in NI Complex With and Without Isolators, Soft Soil





ARS in NI Complex *Without Isolators* for Rock vs. Soil X-Direction



ARS in NI Complex *With Isolators* for Rock vs. Soil X-Direction



ARS in NI Complex With Isolators for Rock vs. Soil **X-Direction** RBC (Coherent, With Isolators) -- ARS (Node 15643) RBC (Coherent, With Isolators) -- ARS (Node 15471) Direction X at RCL SW Direction X at RCL SW Rock Rock Soft Soil 2.5 Soft Soi 03 Top of IS PC W 0.25 0.2 aphilitude 0.15 Amplitude 0.1 0.5 0.05 10⁻¹ 10⁰ Rock 10⁰ 10² 10¹ 10¹ Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) Soft Soil RBC (Coherent, With Isolators) -- ARS (Node 15918) RBC (Coherent, With Isolators) -- ARS (Node 16391) Direction X at RCL SW Direction X at RCL SW Rock Rock 0.9 Soft Soil Soft Soil 0.3 0.8 AB2 W Top of CS 0.25 0.7 0.6 0.2 Amplitude Amplitude 0.5 0.4 0.15 0.3 0.1 0.2 0.05 0.1 32 10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10² 10¹ 2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc. 10¹ Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz)

Case Study 2: Coherent vs. Incoherent Inputs

Base-Isolated NI Complex Behavior for Coherent and Incoherent Motions for the Medium Soil Site (Vs = 2,000 fps);

Used 2007 EPRI/Abrahamson Incoherency Model for Soil Sites including wave passage with Va = 2km/s

Comparisons for accelerations, ISRS, relative displacements and LRB forces

Coherent vs. Incoherent Wave Propagation Models



1D Wave Propagation Analytical Model (Coherent – Simplified Modeling)

Vertically Propagating S and P waves (1D)

- No other waves types included
- No heterogeneity random orientation and arrivals included

- Results in a rigid body soil motion, even for large-size foundations

3D Random Wave Field Soil Motion (Realistic)



3D Wave Propagation Data-Based Model (Incoherent – Database-Driven Modeling)

Includes real field records information, including implicitly motion field heterogeneity, random arrivals of different wave types under random incident angles.

Coherent and Incoherent ARS in NI Complex With Isolators, Medium Soil, X-Direction



Coherent and Incoherent ARS in NI Complex With Isolators, Medium Soil, Z-Direction



Coherent and Incoherent Accelerations in NI Complex With Isolators, Medium Soil, X-Direction



Coherent and Incoherent Acceleration in NI Complex With Isolators, Medium Soil, Z-Direction



Base-Isolated NI Complex Behavior for Coherent and Incoherent Motions

Accelerations

Coherent

Rot: X = 105.000000 Y = -3.000000 Z = 10.000000 Zoom: 0.801399 Pen: X = -3.000000 Y = 176.000000 Suttern Size: X = 874 Y = 630 Frame: 51 Rot: X = 105.500000 Y = -2.530000 Z = 10.000000 Incoherent 2 Zoom: 0.801300 Pen: X = 17.300000 Y = 184.000000 Suttern Size: X = 674 Y = 630 Frame: 51





Incoherent

Base-Isolated NI Complex Behavior for Coherent and Incoherent Motions

Accelerations

Coherent

Incoherent



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

Base-Isolated NI Complex Behavior for Coherent and Incoherent Motions

Relative Displacements wrt Control Motion in Free-Field

Coherent

Rot: X = 70.500000 Y = 1.000000 Z = -51.000000THD-COHERETN Zoom: 0.750001 Pan: X = 21.000000 Y = 189.000000 Screen Size: X = 749 Y = 612 Frame: 500

Incoherent

Rot: X = 70.000000 Y = -1.000000 Z = -51.00000HD-INCOHERENT 3 Zoom: 0.750000 Pan: X = 27.000000 Y = 241.000000 Screen Size: X = 752 Y = 612 Frame: 500

Coherent and Incoherent Structure Displacements wrt Base Center (above), NI With Isolators, Medium Soil



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

Coherent and Incoherent RCLS Displacements wrt RCL Center Location, NI With Isolators, Medium Soil



Coherent and Incoherent EQL Forces in LRB Isolators for Medium Soil



Conclusions

- Base-isolation largely reduces the acceleration response, and as a result of these the inertial forces in the structure. This is a great benefit of base-isolation.
- Seismic SSI effects, especially the radiation damping effects, are significantly reduced for the base-isolated NI complex. Some ISRS are larger for Soil than for Rock site. Significant frequency shifts were noticed.
- The effects of motion incoherency are largely unfavorable for base-isolated NI complex. Incoherency produced large ISRS amplifications for higher frequency modes due to the multiple excitation effects produced by incoherent differential motions.

- Incoherency increases significantly the relative vertical displacement within the NI complex structures and for the RCL system.
- The incoherent motion increases the rocking and torsional response of the base-isolated structure and resulted in much higher axial forces in the isolators, especially near the baseslab edges.

Based on these results, we recommend for base-isolated NI complexes a careful consideration of the significant SSI effects produced by the spatial variation of the ground motion. *These effects should not be neglected!*

Nonlinear Concrete Shearwall Bldg. Model



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

$\rm V_s$ and $\rm V_p$ Soil Layer Profiles



Hysteretic Loops for Base-Isolators in Transverse Direction for 0.50g RG 1.60 Input



2015 Copyright of Ghiocel Predictive Technologies, Inc.

Hysteretic Loops for Panel # 17 Transverse Direction for 0.50g RG 1.60 Input



Story Drift for Wall Panel # 17 for Transverse Direction. Linear vs. Nonlinear SSI Comparisons



Base-Isolated Nuclear Building Behavior for Coherent and Incoherent Motions

Relative Displacements wrt Baseslab Center Above Isolators

Coherent

:: X = 78.000000 Y = 0.000000 Z = -53.000000 mm: 0.900000 Pan: X = 68.000000 Y = -45.000000 :een Size: X = 900 Y = 722 mme: 1 Displacement - Coherent SSI - 0.1g

Incoherent

Rot: X = 78.000000 Y = 0.000000 Z = -53.000000 Zoom: 0.900000 Pan: X = 68.000000 Y = -45.000000 Screen Size: X = 900 Y = 722 Frame: 1 Displacement - Incoherent SSI - 0.1g



